What information has already been provided to Headquarters?

If the response to any of the questions is readily available in Headquarters so state and give the names and telephone numbers of the people having the information and in what form (memo, report, etc.) it was supplied.

Dennis Devlin, 426-7503, is in possesion of all Technical and Legal information.

## 2. What is the History/threat of the site?

A short, descriptive narrative of one to two pages is preferred with an appendix of significant dates, Include a list of substances found, or believed to be found on the site (with notations to distinguish the difference) and a short description of the population at risk and/or the environment affected.

Site was used asalandfill in 1950's, nearly all priority pollutants were found in groundwater study. Pollutants are seeping into Detroit R. and possibly into lower aquifer

## 3. What detailed studies have been performed on the site?

A short description of each study, with dates performed. The name of the contractor, the project officer (with address and telephone number) and a summary of the findings will be needed. A copy of the final report, or executive summary of each study will be requested to be sent to Headquarters. These studies include hydrogeological studies, soil, water and air monitoring, ground-penetrating radar studies and any others which define the extent of the problem, provide information needed to plan remedial measures and/or provide for a facility plan completely or partially clean the site.

7/39 Groundwater's udy by base contractor. Found pollutant infiltration into river.

12/79 Study done by FMT nearly same conclusions as base study. On going study has indextified barrel areas.



4. What emergency/remedial actions are necessary to clean the size?

These actions are those necessary to completely eliminate or ultimately secure the hazardous materials on or off the site. The description of these measures should be as specific as possible and should reflect the data obtained in the stadies supplied in answer to question 3. These actions are not limited to those already approved or underway but should be those that should be performed for a total cleanup of the site. These are, however, generic activities such as "removal of contaminated soil", "repackaging and/or removal of drums", "construction of a leachate collection and treatment system". Include the studies that should be performed in order to better define the remedial activities needed.

Clay around site to prevent river infiltration.

5. What alternative measures have been suggested?

For each activity prescribed in question 4 provide a list of alternative methods considered at the site to acheive the objective. If only one method is available to effect some remedial measure, or no alternative measures have been considered, simply state that as the case. If possible, provide the merits and demerits of each alternative considered.

None.

6. What is the final cleanup plan, if any, for the site?

Being developed.

7. What mechanisms are evailable for funding the above closure plans?

Such funding sources include 311 monies, state fundings, private sources through Administrative Orders or consent decrees, money "volenteered" from private sources, FIT contracting or subcontracting and Superfund money.

CourtSaction.

SF

8. What actions have been planned for the site?

These remedial/emergency activities are a subset of those indicated under question 2 and include those actions for which RFP's, IFB's, and/or TDD's have been prepared. These are activities for which the scope of work and work plans have been developed but have not been initiated.

Being developed now.

9. What problems are present to prevent the implementation of activities under question 8?

Such problems may include awaiting final results of a necessary study, poor weather conditions delaying construction, disallowal of 311 money for the planned activity, extended contract negotiations, lack of subcontracting money under the FIT contract, public interference, lack of acceptable disposal site and/or method. Include the anticipated time to overcome the obstacles and any actions that Headquarters could take to expedite the solution.

On going court action. Complex litigation. 10. What actions are currently underway at the Site?

A brief description of the level of activity, extent of remedy anticipated, time of completion and associated costs (if available) of such activities as Stater directed cleanup, 311 actions, owner/operator and legislation.

On going EPA study.

311 surface runoff confarment.

## 11. Have cost estimates been developed?

At best estimate of the total cost should be supplied. If at all possible the rationale behind the estimate should be provided. Also, needed, if available, are the cost estimates for each anticipated activity described under questions 3 and 4. Include the dates applicable to each of the cost estimates.

No.

## 12. Have time estimates been developed?

A best estimate of the total time needed to clean the site as well as times and scheduling for each phase of cleanup should be provided. Is a facility management plan available, or can one be developed? If so, please supply this information. If enforcement/legistation is underway have deadlines been established under Administrative orders or a consent decree? If so, what are they and are they being met? Has a case development plan been formulated? If so, what are the relevant dates?

13. That are the important circumstances relevant to the cleanup that should be considered?

Court action to force base to clean up site.

For instance,

Enforcement/litigation - What are the prospects of getting a responsible party to affect the cleanup in an acceptable time? Is some necessary precedent being established?

Public Participation

Yes

- Are public interest groups actively involved? To what extent? Supply names (and telephone numbers) of people that should be contacted if the site is selected for cleanup under Superfund.

State Involvement

Yes

- What level of activity has the STate shown in regards to the site? Should the State office be contacted directly? Who in the State office should participate?

Congressional Interest - Have any STate or Federal Congressman shown a substantial interest in the site?

Yes!! Notoriety Yes 1

- Have newspapers, television, activist groups publicized the site? Examples would be Love Canal, Memphis, Valley of the Drums, etc.